

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/618,070	PATTERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan S Mammen	3671

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Nathan S Mammen.

(3) _____.

(2) Adrian Battison.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet


Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Battison to resolve two discrepancies in the application. First, the amendment to the specification, filed 9/7/04, listed the serial number of the related application as being the same serial number of the instant application. Second, the brochure listed on the IDS filed 9/7/04 was not in the application file. By fax response, Mr. Battison advised the examiner that the correct serial number for the related application should be 10/617889, and Mr. Battison included a copy of the brochure. .

 10/8/04